

Borsuk's Conjecture Fails in Dimensions 321 and 322

Oleg Pikhurko*

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences
Cambridge University, Cambridge CB3 0WB, England
E-mail: `O.Pikhurko@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`

February 1, 2008

Let $f(n)$ be the smallest f such that any bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be partitioned into at most f sets of smaller diameter. The famous Borsuk's conjecture [1] that $f(n) = n + 1$ for any $n \geq 1$ has been spectacularly disproved by Kahn and Kalai [5]. However, the counterexamples in [5] all have very large dimension. If we define

$$n_0 = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) > n + 1\},$$

then the proof of Kahn and Kalai gives $n_0 \leq 1325$.

On the other hand we know only that $n_0 \geq 4$ (Perkal [7]; Eggleston [3]). It is of interest where n_0 lies. The upper bound on n_0 was improved to $n_0 \leq 946$ (Nilli [6]), $n_0 \leq 561$ (Raigorodski [8]), $n_0 \leq 560$ (Weißbach [9]), and $n_0 \leq 323$ (Hinrichs [4]). In fact, we know that $f(n) > n + 1$ for all $n \geq 323$.

Here we show that $n_0 \leq 321$.

Theorem 1 $f(321) \geq 333$. *Thus, Borsuk's conjecture fails in all dimensions $n \geq 321$.*

Let us first recall Hinrichs' construction which utilises $M = \Lambda_{24} \cap \Omega^{24}$, the set of unit-length elements in the Leech lattice Λ_{24} . Namely, choose an

*Supported by a Research Fellowship, St. John's College, Cambridge.

orthonormal basis

$$((\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1}^{24}, (\mathbf{f}_i)_{i=1}^{24}, (\mathbf{g}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq 24})$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{324}$, define $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{24} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{324}$ by

$$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_{24}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{24} x_i^2 \mathbf{e}_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{24} x_i \mathbf{f}_i + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 24} x_i x_j \mathbf{g}_{i,j},$$

and consider $\Phi(M)$. Hinrichs [4, Proposition 3(iii)] proved that any subset of $\Phi(M)$ of smaller diameter has at most 350 elements.

To establish Theorem 1 we show that there is $N \subset M$ such that $|N| \geq 116424$ and $\Phi(N)$ lies within a 321-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{324}$. Then by [4, Proposition 3(iii)] we have

$$\text{diam}(\Phi(N)) = \text{diam}(\Phi(M)).$$

Applying [4, Proposition 3(iii)] again, we conclude that we need at least

$$|\Phi(N)|/350 = |N|/350 \geq 116424/350 > 332, \quad (1)$$

parts of smaller diameter to partition $\Phi(N)$, which implies the desired inequality $f(321) \geq 333$.

In order to prove the existence of N we need the following explicit description of M , taken from Conway and Sloane [2, §11 of Chapter 4]. Namely, M contains

- 97152 points of the form $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\pm 2^{(\times 8)}, 0^{(\times 16)})$,
- 98304 points of the form $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\pm 3, \pm 1^{(\times 23)})$,
- 1104 points of the form $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\pm 4^{(\times 2)}, 0^{(\times 22)})$,

where the actual signs and positions of coordinates are not relevant for our purposes. $(a^{(\times k)})$ denotes k copies of a .

Define the bipartite graph G with parts M and $\binom{\{1, \dots, 24\}}{3}$ so that $(x_1, \dots, x_{24})$ and $\{k, l, m\}$ are connected if $|x_k| = |x_l| = |x_m|$. Clearly,

$$e(G) = 97152 \times \left(\binom{8}{3} + \binom{16}{3} \right) + 98304 \times \binom{23}{3} + 1104 \times \binom{22}{3} = 235642176.$$

Hence, some set $\{k, l, m\}$ receives at least $e(G)/\binom{24}{3} = 116424$ edges. Let $N \subset M$ consist of its neighbours. We have

$$\Phi(N) \subset \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{324} \mid \mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_l \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_m \cdot \mathbf{y} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{24} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{y} = 1 \right\},$$

the latter set being a 321-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{324}$. Thus N has all the required properties.

Remark Similarly, one can find a set $K \subset M$ of size 143136 such that for some $1 \leq k < l \leq 24$ and for any $(x_1, \dots, x_{24}) \in K$ we have $|x_k| = |x_l|$. Then $\Phi(K)$ is ‘322-dimensional’ and we have $f(322) \geq \lceil |K|/350 \rceil \geq 409$.

Remark Theorem 1 has been independently discovered by Hinrichs and Richter. Moreover, they report to have proved $n_0 \leq 298$ by showing that the set

$$L = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{24}) \in M \mid x_1 = x_2\}.$$

which lies in a 298-dimensional affine subspace cannot be partitioned into 299 parts of smaller diameter. However, the proof of the latter claim (being currently written) seems to be long and complicated.

References

- [1] K. Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die n -dimensionale euklidische Sphäre*, Fund. Math. **20** (1933), 177–190.
- [2] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, 3d ed., Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [3] H. G. Eggleston, *Covering a three-dimensional set with sets of smaller diameter*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **30** (1955), 11–24.
- [4] A. Hinrichs, *Spherical codes and Borsuk’s conjecture*, Discrete Math. **243** (2002), 253–256.
- [5] J. Kahn and G. Kalai, *A counterexample to Borsuk’s conjecture*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1993), 60–62.
- [6] A. Nilli, *On Borsuk’s problem*, Jerusalem Combinatorics ’93 (H. Barcelo and G. Kalai, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 278, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, pp. 209–210.
- [7] J. Perkal, *Sur la subdivision des ensembles en parties de diamètre inférieur*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1947), 45.
- [8] A. M. Raigorodski, *On the dimension in Borsuk’s problem*, Russian Math. Surveys **52** (1997), 1324–1325.
- [9] B. Weißbach, *Sets with large Borsuk number*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **41** (2000), 417–423.